

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/057,502	01/25/2002	Leon Chia-Liang Lin	01 P 15968 US (INFI 2322)	4351
29393	7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
ESCHWEILER & ASSOCIATES, LLC NATIONAL CITY BANK BUILDING 629 EUCLID AVE., SUITE 1210			WONG, LINDA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	D, OH 44114		2634	
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	i

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/057,502	LIN ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Linda Wong	2634		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	lay 2005.	•		
,	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 and 19-33 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5,9,13,14,19-23,27 and 31-33 is/are Claim(s) 6-8,10-12,24-26 and 28-30 is/are obj Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. e rejected. ected to.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 January 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat brity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D			
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/057,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

- 1. Claims 1,5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by the admitted prior art disclosed by the applicant (Figures 1 and 2).
  - a. Claim 1, the admitted prior art discloses an apparatus comprising an amplifier (Fig. 2, label 32), an analog-to-digital converter (A/D) (Fig. 2, label 36), a first automatic gain control (AGC) (Fig. 2, label 54).
  - b. Claim 5, the admitted prior art discloses a digital signal processing (DSP) (Fig. 2, label=s 38, 42,44,45), a slicer (Fig. 2, label 46), and a second AGC means (Fig. 2, label 56).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2-4,9,13-14,19-23,27,31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art disclosed by the applicant (Figures 1 and 2) in view of Wu et al (US Patent No.: 6870891).
  - a. Claim 2, Although the admitted prior art does not disclose an AGC comprising a counter for counting the number of data elements above a threshold, Wu et al

Art Unit: 2634

discloses an AGC for adjusting the gain based on a count of the number of data elements above a threshold. (Fig. 2, Col. 2, lines 44-67 and Col. 3, lines 24-56) It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the AGC disclosed by Wu et al to produce a quick gain with zero latency.

- b. Claim 3, Although the admitted prior art does not disclose the absolute value of the data, a counter and a first control signal to the first counter, Wu et al discloses computing the absolute value of the data, comparing it to a threshold and increase/decreasing a count value (Fig. 2, labels 31, and 34) and resetting the counter (Fig. 2, label CLK to label 34). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the AGC disclosed by Wu et al to produce a quick gain with zero latency.
- c. Claim 4, Although the admitted prior art does not disclose a slicer, Wu et al discloses a counting method in which the counter increases and decreases based on whether the elements are above or below a threshold. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the AGC disclosed by Wu et al to produce a quick gain with zero latency.
- d. Claim 9, the admitted prior art discloses an amplifier (Fig. 2, label 32), a digitizing means (Fig. 2, label 36), a second means for adjusting the first gain (Fig. 2, label 54), a digital signal processing (Fig. 2, labels 38, 42, 44, 45, and 40), a slicer (Fig. 2, label 46) and a second AGC (Fig. 2, label 56). Although the admitted prior art does not disclose a counter for counting the number of data elements within a range, Wu et al discloses an AGC comprising a counter for

Application/Control Number: 10/057,502

Art Unit: 2634

counting the number of data elements within a range. (Fig. 2, Col. 2, lines 44-67 and Col. 3, lines 24-56). It would be obvious to one skilled in to discloses a counter, disclosed by Wu et al, in the AGC disclosed by the admitted prior art to correct the AGC more quickly.

Page 4

- e. Claim 13 inherits all the limitations of claim 3.
- f. Claim 14 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.
- g. Claim 19, the admitted prior art discloses an amplifier (Fig. 2, label 32) for amplifier a first PAM signal (Fig. 2, label P1), a digitizing second PAM signal (Fig. 2, label 36). Although the admitted prior art does not disclose the components comprised in the AGCs, Wu et al discloses an AGC comprising a process of determining the number of data elements falling within a range and adjusting a gain when the number falls outside a second range. (Fig. 2, Col. 2, lines 44-67 and Col. 3, lines 24-56). It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use Wu et al's AGC invention in the admitted prior art's invention to provide a quicker correcting AGC.
- h. Claim 20 inherits all the limitations of claim 2.
- i. Claim 21 inherits all the limitations of claim 3.
- Claim 22 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.
- k. Claim 23 inherits all the limitations of claim 9.
- Claim 27 inherits all the limitations of claim 19, 2 and 5.
- m. Claim 31 inherits all the limitations of claim 2.
- Claim 32 inherits all the limitations of claim 3.

Art Unit: 2634

o. Claim 33 inherits all the limitations of claim 4.

### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 6-8, 10-12,24-26,28-30 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Linda Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-6044. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LW

STEPHEN CHIN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE: TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800